

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/059,948	HUGLEY, DAVID G.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHERYL LEWIS	2167	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) CHERYL LEWIS. (3) Mark Williams, Registration No. 50658.
 (2) Brian Littman-Smith. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 58.

Identification of prior art discussed: Coult (Publication No. 20020035571) and Grainger (Publication No. 20020111824).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Cheryl Lewis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2167	
---	--

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representatives explained that independent claim 1 is a computer system that automatically returns and detects IP data on the computer system. Also, independent claim 58 recognizes all new software products related to patent data and displays the data to the user. The Examiner stated that the applicant's summarized scope of the claim limitations presented during the interview would be taken into consideration upon review of the pending claims for the next issued Office Action.